

CLASSIFICATION OF IRREDUCIBLE BOUNDED WEIGHT MODULES OVER THE DERIVATION LIE ALGEBRAS OF QUANTUM TORI

GENQIANG LIU AND KAIMING ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let $d > 1$ be an integer, $q = (q_{ij})_{d \times d}$ be a $d \times d$ complex matrix satisfying $q_{ii} = 1, q_{ij} = q_{ji}^{-1}$ with all q_{ij} being roots of unity. Let $\mathbb{C}_q$ be the rational quantum torus algebra associated to q , and $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ its derivation Lie algebra. In this paper, we give a complete classification of irreducible bounded weight modules over $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$. They turn out to be irreducible sub-quotients of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ for a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ -module V , a finite dimensional Γ -graded-irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module W , and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

Keywords: Rational quantum tori; derivation algebra; weight module; irreducible module.

2000 Math. Subj. Class.: 17B10, 17B20, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $d > 1$ be an integer, $q = (q_{ij})_{d \times d}$ be a $d \times d$ complex matrix satisfying $q_{ii} = 1, q_{ij} = q_{ji}^{-1}$ with all q_{ij} being roots of unity. In the present paper, we consider the rational quantum torus algebra $\mathbb{C}_q$ associated to q , and its derivation algebra $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}_q$ is an important algebra, since it is the coordinate algebra of a large class of extended affine Lie algebras (See [BGK]) and shows up in the theory of noncommutative geometry (See [BVF]). When all $q_{ij} = 1$, the algebra $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ is the classical Witt algebra $\mathcal{W}_d$, i.e., the derivation algebra of the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}]$, see [RSS], which is also known as the Lie algebra of vector fields on a d -dimensional torus.

The representation theory of Witt algebras was studied by many mathematicians and physicists for the last couple of decades, see [B, E1, E2, GLZ, L3, L4, L5, MZ, Z1, Z2]. In 1986, Shen defined a class of modules $F_b^\alpha(V) = V \otimes \mathcal{A}$ over the Witt algebra $\mathcal{W}_d$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and an irreducible module V over the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ on which the identity matrix acts as multiplication by a complex number b , see [Sh], which were also given by Larsson in 1992, see [L3]. In 1996, Eswara Rao [E1] determined necessary and sufficient conditions for these modules to be irreducible when V is finite dimensional, see

Date: Jun, 2015.

[GZ] for a simplified proof. When V is infinite dimensional, $F_b^\alpha(V)$ is always irreducible, see [LZ2].

Very recently Billig and Futorny [BF2] gave a complete classification of all irreducible weight modules over $\mathcal{W}_d$ with finite dimensional weight spaces. Based on [MZ, Theorem 3.1] they actually showed that any irreducible bounded weight modules over $\mathcal{W}_d$ is isomorphic to some irreducible subquotient of $F_b^\alpha(V)$. To achieve this result, they introduced a powerful technique: any bounded weight $\mathcal{W}_d$ -module M is a $\mathcal{W}_d$ -quotient module of an $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -module $\widehat{M}$, a module both for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}_d$ and the associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with two structures being compatible. Here $\widehat{M}$ is called the $\mathcal{A}$ -cover of M , which is in fact an $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -quotient module of the $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -module $\mathcal{W}_d \otimes M$. Thus they reduced the classification of irreducible bounded $\mathcal{W}_d$ -modules to the classification of irreducible bounded $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -modules. Using the classification of irreducible bounded $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -modules in [E2, B], they classified all irreducible bounded weight modules over $\mathcal{W}_d$.

Lin and Tan defined in [LT] a class of uniformly bounded irreducible weight modules over $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$, which generalized the construction given by Shen. These modules were clearly characterized in [LZ3]. But these modules can not exhaust all simple bounded weight modules over $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$, since a bigger class of simple modules $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ were constructed in [LZ1], which further generalized Shen's modules. See (2.5). Moreover we showed in [LZ1] that any irreducible $Z\mathcal{D}$ -weight module (similar to the notion of $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -modules, see Definition 2.2) with finite dimensional weight spaces is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ for a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ -module V , a finite dimensional Γ -graded-irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module W , and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$, where $Z := Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$ is the center of $\mathbb{C}_q$ and $\mathcal{D} := \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$.

In the present paper, we consider irreducible bounded weight $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -modules. For an irreducible bounded weight $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module M , we construct a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module $\widehat{M}$ which is called the $Z\mathcal{D}$ -cover of M . The ideal of the $Z\mathcal{D}$ -cover stems from [BF2]. Here the $Z\mathcal{D}$ -cover $\widehat{M}$ is different from the $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -cover $\mathcal{W}_d \otimes M$ in [BF2], since $\mathcal{W}_d \otimes M$ is no longer a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module in our case. Now we define the $Z\mathcal{D}$ -cover $\widehat{M}$ as a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -quotient module of $\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$, see Definition 3.4. Using this technique, we prove that any irreducible bounded $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -weight module is isomorphic to some irreducible sub-quotient of $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ for a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ -module V , a finite dimensional Γ -graded-irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module W , and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$. See Theorem 2.5.

Throughout this paper we denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers, rational numbers and complex numbers, respectively. We use E_{ij} to denote the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) position and zeros elsewhere.

2. NOTATION AND THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we will collect notation and related results, then state our main theorem.

We fix a positive integer $d > 1$. Denote vector space of $d \times 1$ matrices by $\mathbb{C}^d$. Denote its standard basis by $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$. Let $(\cdot | \cdot)$ be the standard symmetric bilinear form such that $(u|v) = u^T v \in \mathbb{C}$, where u^T is the matrix transpose of u .

Let $q = (q_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d$ be a $d \times d$ matrix over $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $q_{ii} = 1, q_{ij} = q_{ji}^{-1}$, where q_{ij} are roots of unity for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. We will call such a matrix q *rational*.

Definition 2.1. *The rational quantum torus $\mathbb{C}_q$ is the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}$ and subject to the defining relations $t_i t_j = q_{ij} t_j t_i, t_i t_i^{-1} = t_i^{-1} t_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$.*

For convenience, denote $t^n = t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} \cdots t_d^{n_d}$ for any $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. For any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define the functions $\sigma(n, m)$ and $f(n, m)$ by

$$t^n t^m = \sigma(n, m) t^{n+m}, \quad t^n t^m = f(n, m) t^m t^n.$$

It is well-known that

$$\sigma(n, m) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} q_{ji}^{n_j m_i}, \quad f(n, m) = \prod_{i,j=1}^d q_{ji}^{n_j m_i},$$

and $f(n, m) = \sigma(n, m) \sigma(m, n)^{-1}$, see [BGK]. We also define

$$\text{Rad}(f) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid f(n, \mathbb{Z}^d) = 1\}, \quad \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d / \text{Rad}(f).$$

Clearly, the center $Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$ of $\mathbb{C}_q$ is spanned by t^r for $r \in \text{Rad}(f)$.

From the results in [N], up to an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}_q$, we may assume that $q_{2i, 2i-1} = q_i, q_{2i-1, 2i} = q_i^{-1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq z$, and other entries of q are all 1, where $z \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2z \leq d$ and with the orders k_i of $q_i, 1 \leq i \leq z$ as roots of unity satisfy $k_{i+1} | k_i, 1 \leq i < z$. For an integer $l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, let

$$(2.1) \quad \xi_l = \begin{cases} k_i e_{2i-1}, & \text{if } l = 2i - 1 \leq 2z, \\ k_i e_{2i}, & \text{if } l = 2i \leq 2z, \\ e_l, & \text{if } l > 2z. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the subgroup $\text{Rad}(f)$.

Throughout the present paper, we assume that q is of the above simple form. Then we see that $\sigma(r, n) = \sigma(n, r) = 1$ (i.e., $t^n t^r = t^{n+r}$) for all $r \in \text{Rad}(f)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. In this case, we know that

$$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^z (\mathbb{Z} / (k_i \mathbb{Z})) \oplus (\mathbb{Z} / (k_i \mathbb{Z})).$$

Let $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ be the derivation Lie algebra of $\mathbb{C}_q$. Let $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)_n$ be the set of homogeneous elements of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ with degree $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then

from Lemma 2.48 in [BGK], we have

$$\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)_n, \quad \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)_n = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \text{ad}(t^n), & \text{if } n \notin \text{Rad}(f), \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{C} t^n \partial_i, & \text{if } n \in \text{Rad}(f), \end{cases}$$

where ∂_i is the degree derivation defined by $\partial_i(t^n) = n_i t^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We will simply denote $\text{ad}(t^n)$ in $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ by t^n for $n \notin \text{Rad}(f)$.

For $n \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$, we denote $D(u, n) = t^n \sum_{i=1}^d u_i \partial_i$. The Lie bracket of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ is given by:

- (1) $[t^s, t^{s'}] = (\sigma(s, s') - \sigma(s', s)) t^{s+s'}$;
- (2) $[D(u, r), t^s] = (u|s) t^{r+s}$;
- (3) $[D(u, r), D(u', r')] = D(w, r + r')$,

where $w = (u|r')u' - (u'|r)u$, $s, s' \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \text{Rad}(f)$, $r, r' \in \text{Rad}(f)$, and we have used that $\sigma(r, s) = \sigma(r, r') = 1$.

We can see that $\mathfrak{h} := \text{span}\{D(u, 0) \mid u \in \mathbb{C}^d\}$ is the Cartan subalgebra (the maximal toral subalgebra) of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$. Moreover the subalgebra of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ spanned by $\{t^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \text{Rad}(f)\}$ is isomorphic to the derived algebra $\mathbb{C}'_q := [\mathbb{C}_q, \mathbb{C}_q]$ of $\mathbb{C}_q$. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_d = \text{span}\{D(u, r) \mid r \in \text{Rad}(f), u \in \mathbb{C}^d\}$$

which is indeed isomorphic to the classical Witt algebra. Note that the algebra $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ has a nature structure of $Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module, i.e.,

$$t^r \cdot t^s = t^{s+r}, \quad t^r \cdot D(u, r') = D(u, r + r'),$$

where $r, r' \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \text{Rad}(f)$, $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

A $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module V is called a *weight* module provided that the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on V is diagonalizable. For any weight module V we have the weight space decomposition

$$(2.2) \quad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid \partial v = \lambda(\partial)v \text{ for all } \partial \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

The space V_λ is called the *weight space* corresponding to the *weight* λ . If there is an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_\lambda < k$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the weight module V is called a *bounded* weight module. The following notion is important to our later arguments.

Definition 2.2. A *ZD-module* V is a module both for the Lie algebra $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ and the commutative associative algebra $Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$, with these two structures being compatible:

$$(2.3) \quad [D(u, r), t^{r'}]v = D(u, r)t^{r'}v - t^{r'}D(u, r)v,$$

$$(2.4) \quad t^s t^r v = t^r t^s v,$$

for any $r, r' \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $s \notin \text{Rad}(f)$, $v \in V$.

Clearly $\mathbb{C}'_q$ is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module under the adjoint action of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ and the action of $Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$ defined as follows:

$$t^r t^n = t^{n+r}, \quad r \in \text{Rad}(f), \quad n \notin \text{Rad}(f).$$

In [LZ1], a class of $Z\mathcal{D}$ -modules was constructed. Next, we will recall these modules. First, we recall the twisted loop algebra realization of $\mathbb{C}_q$.

Let $\mathcal{I} = \text{span}\{t^{n+r} - t^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, r \in \text{Rad}(f)\}$ which is an ideal of the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}_q$. Then from [N] and [Z2] we know that

$$\mathbb{C}_q/\mathcal{I} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^z \mathfrak{gl}_{k_i} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_N$$

as associative algebras with $N = \prod_{i=1}^z k_i$. It is well known that $\mathfrak{gl}_{k_i}, 1 \leq i \leq z$, as the associative algebra $M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$, is generated by X_{2i-1}, X_{2i} with

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2i-1} &= E_{1,1} + q_i E_{2,2} + \cdots + q_i^{k_i-1} E_{k_i,k_i}, \\ X_{2i} &= E_{1,2} + E_{2,3} + \cdots + E_{k_i-1,k_i} + E_{k_i,1}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy $X_{2i}^{k_i} = X_{2i-1}^{k_i} = 1, X_{2i} X_{2i-1} = q_i X_{2i-1} X_{2i}$. We denote $\bigotimes_{i=1}^z X_{2i-1}^{n_{2i-1}} X_{2i}^{n_{2i}}$ by X^n for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Identifying $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ with $\bigotimes_{i=1}^z \mathfrak{gl}_{k_i}$ as associative algebras, $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ is spanned by $X^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and X^r equals to the identity matrix E in $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ for each $r \in \text{Rad}(f)$.

Lemma 2.3. (See [ABFP]) *As associative algebras,*

$$\mathbb{C}_q \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\mathbb{C} X^n \otimes x^n),$$

where the right hand side is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -graded subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N \otimes \mathcal{A}$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ is a Γ -graded Lie algebra with the gradation

$$\mathfrak{gl}_N = \bigoplus_{\bar{n} \in \Gamma} (\mathfrak{gl}_N)_{\bar{n}},$$

where $(\mathfrak{gl}_N)_{\bar{n}} = \mathbb{C} X^n$.

A module W over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ is called a Γ -graded $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module if W has a subspace decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{\bar{n} \in \Gamma} W_{\bar{n}}$ such that $(\mathfrak{gl}_N)_{\bar{m}} W_{\bar{n}} \subset W_{\bar{m}+\bar{n}}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. A Γ -graded $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module W is Γ -graded-irreducible if it has no nonzero proper Γ -graded submodules. We remark that all finite dimensional Γ -graded $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -modules were classified in [EK].

For any irreducible finite dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ -module V , any Γ -graded-irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module $W = \bigoplus_{\bar{n} \in \Gamma} W_{\bar{n}}$ with identity action of identity matrix E in $\mathfrak{gl}_N$, and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$, let

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (V \otimes W_{\bar{n}} \otimes t^n).$$

Then $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ becomes a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module if we define the following actions

- (1) $t^s(v \otimes w_{\bar{n}} \otimes t^n) = v \otimes (X^s w_{\bar{n}}) \otimes t^{n+s};$
- (2) $D(u, r)(v \otimes w_{\bar{n}} \otimes t^n) = \left((u | n + \alpha)v + (ru^T)v \right) \otimes w_{\bar{n}} \otimes t^{n+r},$

where $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $v \in V$, $w_{\bar{n}} \in W_{\bar{n}}$ and $r \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $X^s \in \mathfrak{gl}_N$.

In [LZ1], all irreducible $Z\mathcal{D}$ -modules with finite dimensional weight spaces are proved to be of the form $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$. Restricted on $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$, $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ is not necessarily irreducible. The following result easily follows from [E1] and [GZ], which gives all irreducible subquotients of the $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$.

Lemma 2.4. *The $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ is reducible if and only if $\dim W = 1$ and one of the following holds*

- (a). *the highest weight of V is the fundamental weight ω_k of $\mathfrak{sl}_d$ and $b = k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$;*
- (b). *$\dim V = 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $b \in \{0, d\}$.*

We can easily see that when the $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ is reducible it has a unique nonzero proper submodule.

In the present paper, we will reduce the classification irreducible uniformly bounded modules over $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ to the classification of irreducible $Z\mathcal{D}$ -modules, that is, we will obtain the following main result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, $q = (q_{ij})_{d \times d}$ be a $d \times d$ complex matrix which is rational. Let M be an irreducible bounded weight $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module. Then there exist a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ -module V , a finite dimensional Γ -graded-irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module W , and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that M is isomorphic to some irreducible sub-quotient of $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.5.

Let M be an irreducible bounded weight $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module. The irreducibility of M implies that there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} M_{\alpha+n}$, where

$$M_{\alpha+n} = \{v \in M \mid \partial_i(v) = (\alpha_i + n_i)v, 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

In [BF2], in order to define the $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -cover of M , they considered the tensor product $\mathcal{W}_d \otimes M$ of the adjoint module and M . In our case, the module $\mathcal{W}_d \otimes M$ is still an $\mathcal{AW}_d$ -module, unfortunately is no longer a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module. Now we turn to the tensor product $\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$ of the $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -modules $\mathbb{C}'_q$ and M , since $\mathbb{C}'_q$ itself is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module.

Lemma 3.1. *The space $\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$ is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ module if we define the action of $Z(\mathbb{C}_q)$ by*

$$(3.1) \quad t^r(t^n \otimes w) = t^{n+r} \otimes w,$$

where $r \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $n \notin \text{Rad}(f)$, $w \in M$.

Proof. For any $u \in \mathbb{C}^d, m, r \in \text{Rad}(f), n, s \notin \text{Rad}(f)$ and $w \in M$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & D(u, m)t^r(t^n \otimes w) - t^r D(u, m)(t^n \otimes w) \\ &= (u \mid r + n)t^{n+m+r} \otimes w + t^{n+r} \otimes D(u, m)w \\ &\quad - (u \mid n)t^{n+m+r} \otimes w - t^{n+r} \otimes D(u, m)w \\ &= (u \mid r)t^{n+m+r} \otimes w = [D(u, m), t^r](t^n \otimes w), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t^s t^r(t^n \otimes w) &= (\sigma(s, n+r) - \sigma(n+r, s))t^{n+s+r} \otimes w + t^{n+r} \otimes t^s w \\ &= (\sigma(s, n) - \sigma(n, s))t^{n+s+r} \otimes w + t^{n+r} \otimes t^s w \\ &= t^r t^s(t^n \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality, we have used the fact that $\sigma(r, s) = \sigma(s, r) = 1$.

So the action of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ is compatible, hence $\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$ is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ module. $\square$

Define the linear map

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M \rightarrow M$$

by $\pi(y \otimes w) = yw$ for $y \in \mathbb{C}'_q, w \in M$.

Lemma 3.2. *The map π is a $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module homomorphism. When $\mathbb{C}'_q M \neq 0$, π is surjective.*

Proof. For all $n \notin \text{Rad}(f), r \in \text{Rad}(f), w \in M$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(D(u, r)(t^n \otimes w)) &= [D(u, r), t^n]w + t^n D(u, r)w \\ &= D(u, r)t^n w = D(u, r)\pi(t^n \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

So π is a $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module homomorphism. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{C}'_q M$ is a submodule of M . Then the irreducibility of M implies that π is surjective. $\square$

Let J be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$ spanned by the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{n \in I} t^n \otimes v_n \mid n \notin \text{Rad}(f), v_n \in M, \sum_{n \in I} t^{n+\gamma} v_n = 0, \text{ for all } \gamma \in \text{Rad}(f) \right\}.$$

Clearly, $J \subset \ker(\pi)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The subspace J is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -submodule of $\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$.*

Proof. Let $\eta = \sum_{n \in I} t^n \otimes v_n \in J$, where $I \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \text{Rad}(f)$ is a finite subset. Then

$$\sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r} v_n = 0, \text{ for all } r \in \text{Rad}(f).$$

To show that J is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -submodule, we only need to show that

$$t^{r'} \eta, D(u, r') \eta, t^s \eta \in J, \text{ for any } r' \in \text{Rad}(f), s \notin \text{Rad}(f).$$

From $\sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r+r'} v_n = 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_n (u | n) t^{n+r'+r} v_n + \sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r} D(u, r') v_n \\
&= \sum_{n \in I} (u | n) t^{n+r'+r} v_n + \sum_{n \in I} [t^{n+r}, D(u, r')] v_n + D(u, r') \sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r} v_n \\
&= \sum_{n \in I} (u | n) t^{n+r'+r} v_n - \sum_{n \in I} (u | n+r) t^{n+r'+r} v_n \\
&= - (u | r) \sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r'+r} v_n = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{r'} \eta &= \sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r'} \otimes v_n, \\
D(u, r') \eta &= \sum_{n \in I} (u | n) t^{n+r'} \otimes v_n + \sum_{n \in I} t^n \otimes D(u, r') v_n.
\end{aligned}$$

So $t^{r'} \eta, D(u, r') \eta \in J$.

From

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \in I} (\sigma(s, n) - \sigma(n, s)) t^{n+s+r} v_n + \sum_{n \in I} t^{n+r} t^s v_n \\
&= \sum_{n \in I} [t^s, t^{n+r}] v_n + \sum_{n \in I} [t^{n+r}, t^s] v_n + t^s \sum_n t^{n+r} v_n \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$t^s \eta = \sum_{n \in I} (\sigma(s, n) - \sigma(n, s)) t^{n+s} \otimes v_n + \sum_{n \in I} t^n \otimes t^s v_n,$$

we see that $t^s \eta \in J$. So J is a $Z\mathcal{D}$ -submodule. $\square$

Definition 3.4. The $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module $\widehat{M} := (\mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M) / J$ is called the $Z\mathcal{D}$ -cover of M .

Since $J \subset \ker(\pi)$, π induces an epimorphism from $\widehat{M}$ to M which is still denoted by π . For $t^n \otimes v \in \mathbb{C}'_q \otimes M$, denote its image in $\widehat{M}$ by $\psi(t^n, v)$. The next key step is to show that $\widehat{M}$ is a bounded weight module. We will use the solenoidal Lie algebra (or called the centerless higher rank Virasoro algebra) as an auxiliary instrument.

Recall from [BF2] that a vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is generic if $(u|r) \neq 0$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$. For a generic vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$, let

$$e_r = D(u, r) \text{ for } r \in \text{Rad}(f).$$

The subalgebra W_u of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ spanned by $e_r, r \in \text{Rad}(f)$ is a solenoidal Lie algebra.

From now on, we fix a generic vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^d$. It is easy to see that the Lie bracket of W_u is given by

$$(3.2) \quad [e_r, e_{r'}] = (u \mid r' - r)e_{r+r'} \quad r, r' \in \text{Rad}(f).$$

For $r, h \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $l \geq 0$, we recall the differentiators in the universal enveloping algebra of $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$:

$$\Omega_r^{(l,h)} := \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} e_{r-ih} e_{ih}.$$

These operators were introduced in [BF2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be an irreducible bounded $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module. Then there exists an integer $l > 1$ such that for all $r, h \in \text{Rad}(f)$, the differentiator $\Omega_r^{(l,h)}$ annihilates M .*

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the subspace $M(n) := \bigoplus_{r \in \text{Rad}(f)} M_{\alpha+n+r}$ is a bounded module over W_u . Clearly $M(m) = M(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $m - n \in \text{Rad}(f)$. For an $M(n)$, by Proposition 4.6 in [BF1], there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $r, h \in \text{Rad}(f)$ and $l > K$, the differentiator $\Omega_r^{(l,h)}$ annihilates $M(n)$. Since the index of the subgroup $\text{Rad}(f)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is finite, M is a sum of a finite number of $M(n)$. Thus there exists a large enough l such that for all $r, h \in \text{Rad}(f)$, the differentiator $\Omega_r^{(l,h)}$ annihilates M . $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be an irreducible bounded $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module such that $\mathbb{C}'_q M \neq 0$. Then the $Z\mathcal{D}$ -cover of $\widehat{M}$ is bounded.*

Proof. Let Δ be a complete coset representatives of the subgroup $\text{Rad}(f)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $0 \notin \Delta$. Clearly Δ is a finite set. For a weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the weight space $\widehat{M}_\lambda$ is spanned by

$$\{\psi(t^{n+r}, M_{\lambda-n-r}) : n \in \Delta, r \in \text{Rad}(f)\}.$$

We introduce a norm on $\text{Rad}(f)$:

$$\|r\| = \sum_{i=1}^d |\gamma_i|,$$

where $r = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i \xi_i \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d\}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of $\text{Rad}(f)$ defined in (2.1). By Lemma 3.5, there exists an integer $l > 1$ such that the differentiator $\Omega_r^{(l, \xi_i)}$ annihilates M for all $r \in \text{Rad}(f)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Let S be the subspace of $\widehat{M}$ spanned by

$$\psi(t^{n+r}, M_{\lambda-n-r}), \quad n \in \Delta, r \in \text{Rad}(f) \text{ with } \|r\| \leq \frac{ld}{2},$$

plus $\psi(t^{n_0+r_0}, M_0)$ if $\lambda = n_0 + r_0$ for some $n_0 \in \Delta, r_0 \in \text{Rad}(f)$. Clearly S is finite dimensional.

Claim: $\widehat{M}_\lambda = S$.

In order to prove this claim, we only need to check that $t^{n+r} \otimes M_{\lambda-n-r}$ belongs to S for any $n \in \Delta, r \in \text{Rad}(f)$. We use induction on $\|r\|$. If $|\gamma_i| \leq \frac{l}{2}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then the claim is trivial. On the contrary, we assume that $|\gamma_j| > \frac{l}{2}$ for some j . Without loss of generality, we assume that $\gamma_j > \frac{l}{2}$. The case $\gamma_j < -\frac{l}{2}$ follows similarly. Clearly, the norms of $r - \xi_j, \dots, r - l\xi_j$ are strictly smaller than $\|r\|$. For $v \in M_{\lambda-n-r}$ with $\lambda - n - r \neq 0$, since $e_0 v = (u \mid \lambda - n - r)v$, so we write $v = e_0 w$ for some $w \in M_{\lambda-n-r}$.

From $0 = \Omega_r^{(l, \xi_i)} t^n w = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} e_{r-i\xi_j} e_{i\xi_j} t^n w$, we see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} t^{n+r-i\xi_j} e_{i\xi_j} w + \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} e_{r-i\xi_j} t^{n+i\xi_j} w = 0,$$

where we have use that fact that $(u \mid n) \neq 0$. Note that $e_{r-i\xi_j} t^{n+i\xi_j} w = (u \mid n + i\xi_j) t^{n+r} w + t^{n+i\xi_j} e_{r-i\xi_j} w$. From

$$\sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i i \binom{l}{i} = 0,$$

we get that

$$\sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} t^{n+r-i\xi_j} e_{i\xi_j} w + \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} t^{n+i\xi_j} e_{r-i\xi_j} w = 0.$$

Thus

$$t^{n+r} v = - \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} t^{n+r-i\xi_j} e_{i\xi_j} w - \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} t^{n+i\xi_j} e_{r-i\xi_j} w,$$

i.e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(t^{n+r}, v) = & - \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^i \binom{l}{i} \psi(t^{n+r-i\xi_j}, e_{i\xi_j} w) \\ & - \sum_{k=0}^l (-1)^k \binom{l}{k} \psi(t^{n+k\xi_j}, e_{r-k\xi_j} w). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e_{i\xi_j} w \in M_{\lambda-n-(r-i\xi_j)}$, $e_{r-k\xi_j} w \in M_{\lambda-n-k\xi_j}$ and $\|r-i\xi_j\| < \|r\|$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $\|k\xi_j\| \leq \frac{ld}{2}$ for any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$, since $d \geq 2$. By induction assumption the right hand side of (3.3) belongs to S . Therefore the Claim is true. Hence $\widehat{M}_\lambda$ is finite dimensional. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. If $\mathbb{C}'_q M = 0$, the module M is an irreducible module over $\mathcal{W}_d$. This case was proved in [BF2] where W is taken as a one dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module in the statement of the theorem.

Now we assume that $\mathbb{C}'_q M \neq 0$. By the irreducibility of M and the fact that $\mathbb{C}'_q M$ is a submodule of M , we see that $\mathbb{C}'_q M = M$. Thus the homomorphism $\pi : \widehat{M} \rightarrow M$ is surjective.

From [BF2] we know that each irreducible bounded weight W_d -module has a support of the form $\alpha + \text{Rad}(f)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$ (possibly 0 may be removed from this coset). Since $[\mathbb{Z}^d : \text{Rad}(f)] < \infty$, then $\widehat{M}$ has a composition series of $Z\mathcal{D}$ -submodules:

$$0 = \widehat{M}_0 \subset \widehat{M}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \widehat{M}_s = \widehat{M}.$$

Thus each quotient $\widehat{M}_i/\widehat{M}_{i-1}$ is an irreducible $Z\mathcal{D}$ -module. Let k be the smallest integer such that $\pi(\widehat{M}_k) \neq 0$. By the irreducibility of M , we see that $\pi(\widehat{M}_k) = M$ and $\pi(\widehat{M}_{k-1}) = 0$. Thus we have a surjective $\text{Der}(\mathbb{C}_q)$ -module homomorphism from $\widehat{M}_k/\widehat{M}_{k-1}$ to M . By Theorem 4.4 in [LZ1], we know that $\widehat{M}_k/\widehat{M}_{k-1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}^\alpha(V, W)$ for some finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ -module V , finite dimensional Γ -graded-irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ -module W , and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^d$. This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AABGP] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao, A. Pianzola, *Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems*, Men. Amer. Math. Soc., 126(605), 1997.
- [ABFP] B. Allison, S. Berman, J. Faulkner, A. Pianzola, Realization of graded-simple algebras as loop algebras, *Forum Math.*, 20 (2008), no. 3, 395C432.
- [B] Y. Billig, Jet modules, *Canad. J. Math.*, 59 (2007), no.4, 712-729.
- [BGK] S. Berman, Y. Gao, Y. S. Krylyuk, Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 135 (1996), 339-389.
- [BF1] Y. Billig and V. Futorny, Classification of simple cuspidal modules for solenoidal Lie algebras, arXiv:1306.5478v1.
- [BF2] Y. Billig and V. Futorny, Classification of simple W_n -modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces, arXiv:1304.5458v1.
- [BVF] J. M. Gracia-Bondia, J. C. Vasilly, H. Figueroa, *Elements of Non-commutative Geometry*, Birkhauser Advanced Texts, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [EK] A. Elduque, M. Kochetov. Graded modules over classical simple Lie algebras with a grading. Preprint arXiv:1308.6089.
- [E1] S. Eswara Rao, Irreducible representations of the Lie-algebra of the diffeomorphisms of a d -dimensional torus, *J. Algebra*, **182** (1996), no. 2, 401-421.
- [E2] S. Eswara Rao, Partial classification of modules for Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms of d -dimensional torus, *J. Math. Phys.*, 45 (8), (2004) 3322-3333.
- [GLZ] X. Guo, G. Liu, K. Zhao, Irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over extended Witt algebras, *Ark. Mat.*, 52 (2014), 99-112.

- [GZ] X. Guo, K. Zhao, Irreducible weight modules over Witt algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(2011), 2367–2373.
- [L1] T. A. Larsson, Multi dimensional Virasoro algebra, *Phys. Lett., B* 231, 94-96(1989).
- [L2] T. A. Larsson, Central and non-central extensions of multi-graded Lie algebras, *J. Phys., A* 25, 1177-1184(1992).
- [L3] T. A. Larsson, Conformal fields: A class of representations of Vect (N), *Int. J. Mod. Phys., A* 7, 6493-6508(1992).
- [L4] T. A. Larsson, Lowest energy representations of non-centrally extended diffeomorphism algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 201, 461-470(1999).
- [L5] T. A. Larsson, Extended diffeomorphism algebras and trajectories in jet space, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 214, 469-491(2000).
- [LT] W. Lin, S. Tan, Representations of the Lie algebra of derivations for quantum torus, *J. Algebra*, 275, (2004)250–274.
- [LZ1] G. Liu, K. Zhao, Irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over the derivation algebras of rational quantum tori, *Glasgow J. Math.*, Vol. 55(3), 677-693 (2013).
- [LZ2] G. Liu, K. Zhao, Irreducible weight modules over Witt algebras with infinite dimensional weight spaces, arXiv:1407.3470.
- [LZ3] G. Liu, K. Zhao, Irreducible weight modules over derivation algebras of quantum tori, *J. Algebra*, Vol.340, 28-34(2011).
- [M] O. Mathieu, Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra, *Invent. Math.*, **107** (1992), no. 2, 225-234.
- [N] K. Neeb, On the classification of rational quantum tori and the structure of their automorphism groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 51 , no. 2(2008), 261–282.
- [RSS] E. Ramos, C. H. Sah, R. E. Shrock, Algebras of diffeomorphisms of the N-torus, *J. Math. Phys.*, Vol.31, No.8(1990).
- [Sh] G. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type. I. Mixed products of modules, *Sci. Sinica Ser., A* **29** (1986), no. 6, 570-581.
- [MZ] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Supports of weight modules over Witt algebras, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect., A* 141(2011), no. 1, 155-170.
- [Z1] K. Zhao, Weight modules over generalized Witt algebras with 1-dimensional weight spaces, *Forum Math.*, Vol.16, No.5(2004), 725-748.
- [Z2] K. Zhao, The q-Virasoro-like algebra, *J. Algebra*, 188(1997), 506-512.

G.L.: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China. Email: liugenqiang@amss.ac.cn

K.Z.: Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3C5, and Department of Mathematics, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang, Henan, 464000, P.R. China.
Email: kzhao@wlu.ca